

**FORM PTO-1449 U.S. Department of  
Commerce Patent and Trademark Office**

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE  
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**

(Use several sheets if necessary)


ATTY. DOCKET NO.  
**500.38895X00**

SERIAL NO.  
**09/640,016**

APPLICANT  
**Y. NAGAI, et al**

FILING DATE  
**August 17, 2000**

GROUP  
**2131**



**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

EXAMINER INITIAL	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	ABSTRACT	
						YES	NO

**OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)**

<i>J.A.</i>	"Common Criteria: An Introduction", pp. 1-19.
<i>J.D.</i>	"Common Criteria: Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation User Guide" October 1999, pp. 1-41.
<i>J.B.</i>	NIAP-National Information Assurance Partnership, Protection Profiles, Jan. 4, 2006.
<i>J.P.</i>	The Common Criteria: Evaluation and Validation Scheme Validated Protection Profiles, Jan. 4, 2006, pp. 1-5.
<i>J.H.</i>	Common Evaluation Methodology for Information Technology Security, Part 1, Introduction and General Model, 1/11/97, pp. 1-24.
<i>J.F.</i>	Common Criteria: Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation, August 2005, pp. 2-360.
<i>J.D.</i>	Common Criteria: Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Part 3, Security Assurance Requirements, August 2005, pp. 2-196.
<i>J.B.</i>	Common Criteria: Common Criteria: Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation: Part 2: Security Functional Requirements, August 2005, pp. 2-325.
<i>J.D.</i>	Common Criteria: Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation: Part 1: Introduction and General Model, August 2005, pp. 2-61.

EXAMINER *Tek J. Chen* DATE CONSIDERED *2/1/06*

EXAMINER: Initial if citation is considered, draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

(Form PTO-1449 [6-4])